

CoHSAT Address to Transport Delegated Decisions Meeting 5 September 2024

Schools Streets and other Traffic Safety and Management Proposals (Items 5-8, 10-12, 17-19)

There are 37 items proposed today, 36 of them aimed to reduce road danger. The journey to Vision Zero has a million steps. But each step must be designed according to multiple user needs and TSRGD, costed, funded, consulted, approved and implemented. But, in a journey from thirty deaths a year to zero, it's worth it.

I'll comment on the 20mph schemes later, but in rapid summary for the others, CoHSAT, the Coalition for Healthy Streets and Active Travel supports them all.

- For item 5 School Streets we are delighted to join the strong support.
- 6 Botley Road, is complicated, we support the proposal, and I know Danny Yee will talk to this.
- 7 Traffic calming in Islip, we support, but suggest gaps of 1.5m each side as per LTN 1/20
- 8 Nuneham Courtenay is a small but vital change for safety
- 10 Sandy Lane West is crucial to manage down the dangerous driving on this road with a school, where three children were injured.
- 11 Parking restrictions in Elms Road Thame we agree this is necessary for safety despite the objections.
- 12 Parking in Shrivenham, we were concerned about the loss of cycle parking until we saw there are six Sheffield stands opposite, so are happy with the plan.
- 17, 18, 19 The three speed reduction plans in Bodicote, Hennef Way and Woodstock were always likely to see objections by the fraction of motorists keener on speed than safety.

The driver who injured the children on Sandy Lane West would probably be against all these schemes. But he went to jail for causing injury for dangerous driving, driving unlicenced and driving uninsured. That's exactly why we need them.

We will speak later to support the 20mph speed limits in 3 towns and 22 villages, but a notable absence is Oxford. When will Oxford catch up with expansion of its 20 limit?

Robin Tucker, Co-Chair, CoHSAT